

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1150 Alexandra, Virgana 22313 1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/436,603 11/09/1999 VINCENT P. LASKO PPC-0720 6875

7590

06/27/2003

AUDLEY A CIAMPORCERO JR ESQ JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUSWICK, NJ 089337003

EXAMINER

PAPER NUMBER

PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C

ART UNIT 1771

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				27
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/436,603	LASKO, VINCENT P.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christopher C Pratt	1771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) <u>\</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	April 2003		
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the morits is	
·	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) 7-9 is/are pending in the application.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
	ion Papers	,		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appli	cation No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	,		
	i) The translation of the foreign language pro			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachmer				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/436,603

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed 4/23/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendment is found to overcome the previous 102 rejection. Despite this advance, Applicant's amendment is not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim 7-9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (4472461) in view of either Hodgson (3645835), Metcalfe (4559938), Tani et al (4789413), Ward (5000172), Kay (5713842), Plews (5755681), or Takemoto (EP 0353972).

Applicant argues that Johnson fails to teach the adhesive disposed in a "pattern." The cited secondary references are all drawn to the creation of medical tapes and teach the use of a discontinuous pattern of adhesive. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the adhesive of Johnson in a discontinuous pattern. Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to reduce costs by using less adhesive. Perforating nonadhesive areas would also reduce the gas pressure needed to perforate the tape, thereby reducing energy costs and the problem of "floating (cols. 4-5, lines 65-15)." A discontinuous adhesive layer would also increase the breathability of the bandage and increase the comfort to the wearer.

Application/Control Number: 09/436,603

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant agues that the examiner's motivation to use either a hydrophilic or hydrophobic adhesive is hindsight. However, as previously set forth, Johnson teaches the use of an adhesive. Applicant claims the use of either a hydrophilic or hydrophobic adhesive. As stated in the last action, virtually all adhesives can be classified as either hydrophilic or hydrophobic. Therefore, any adhesive used by Johnson would meet applicant's claim. Said rejection is maintained from the last action.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/436,603

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt June 18, 2003

BINA STAMINER